

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/552,760		04/18/2000	Kirk B. Ashby	049581-P024US-10006096		
29053	7590	09/30/2003				
		OF FULBRIGHT	EXAMINER			
2200 ROSS SUITE 2800			TRAN, PABLO N			
DALLAS, T	TX 75201	-2784		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2685	\$	
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/552,7	60	ASHBY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Pablo N		2685					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>01 July 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
· _	on of Claims								
	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application of the above states (a)								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	narawn trom co	insideration.						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>34-37</u> is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) 1-4 and 8-33 is/are rejected								
· <u> </u>	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-33</u> is/are rejected. ⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election i	requirement						
	ion Papers	ind/or cicodioir i	equirement.						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a)∐ a	pproved b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examine	er.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
* S	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			(PTO-413) Paper No(elatent Application (PTC					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 07/01/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant's stated that "There are no suggestion to combine Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Marshall". In response to the Applicant's arguments, since both references disclosed an image rejection system, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a pair of single sideband mixers, as disclosed in Marshall, in place of the first and second mixers of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in order to provide a lower inherent noise output signal while remove unwanted image signal.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2685

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 8-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art in view of Marshall (4,696,055) and further in view of Tomasz (6,400,416).

As per claims 1-4,15-16, and 27-30, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art disclosed a method of providing a frequency translation circuit comprising an input signal (fig. 1/item IN) interface accepting a video bandwidth signal at a first frequency, an output signal (fig. 1/item OUT) interface passing said video bandwidth signal at a desire frequency, a first mixer (fig. 1/no. 121) circuit having a first input and a first output, wherein a signal provided to said first input is provided to said first output at an increased frequency; and a second mixer (fig. 3/no. 214) circuit having a second input and a second output, wherein said second mixer is coupled to said first mixer, and wherein a signal provided to said second input is provided to said second output at a decreased frequency (see Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, pg. 8/ln. 10-pg. 9/ln. 210).

Application/Control Number: 09/552,760

Art Unit: 2685

Applicant's Admitted Prior Art does not specifically disclose that both the first and second mixers are single sideband mixers. However, such single sideband mixers are well known in the art, as disclosed by Marshall (fig. 2, 8, 12-13/no. 40, 46, 44, 50). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a pair of single sideband mixers, as disclosed in Marshall, in place of the first and second mixers of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art to provide a lower inherent noise output and remove unwanted image signal.

Furthermore, the modified system of *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* do not disclosed that both mixers are disposed on a common IC substrated. However, such mixers disposed on a common IC substrated are well known in the art, as disclosed by *Tomasz* (fig. 2-5/no. 216). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have both mixers disposed on a single IC substrated, as disclosed in *Marshall*, to the modified system of *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* to save space & cost.

As per claims 8-10 and 23, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art* and *Tomasz* disclosed a signal amplitude manipulator disposed on a common IC substrated (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 114, see *Tomasz*, fig. 3/no. 252, 256).

As per claims 11-13 and 24-25, the modified system *Applicant's Admitted Prior*Art and *Tomasz* disclosed a filter, coupled to said first single sideband mixer, is

disposed on a common IC substrated (see *Applicant's Admitted Prior Art*, fig. 1/no. 141, see *Tomasz*, fig. 4/no. 210).

Art Unit: 2685

As per claim 14, the modified system Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Tomasz disclosed a filter, coupled to said first single sideband mixer, is disposed external of the common IC substrated (see *Tomasz*, fig. 3/no. 210).

As per claims 17-19, the modified system Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Tomasz disclosed the first sideband mixer comprises a phase shifter to provide an inphase and quadrature signals (see Marshall, fig. 2/no. 54).

As per claim 20, the modified system Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Tomasz disclosed an amplifier, coupled to said input, is disposed on a common IC substrated (see Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, fig. 1/no. 111, see Tomasz, fig. 3/no. 224).

As per claims 21-22, the modified system Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Tomasz disclosed an amplifier, coupled to between said first and second mixers, is disposed on a common IC substrated (see Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, fig. 1/no. 111, see Tomasz, fig. 3/no. 224).

As per claim 26, the modified system Applicant's Admitted Prior Art and Tomasz disclosed said first mixer comprises a fixed frequency carrier and said second mixer comprises a variable frequency carrier (see Applicant's Admitted Prior Art, fig. 1/no. 131,132).

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2685

5. Claims 34-37 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rixon (5,243,304) disclose image rejection frequency circuit in a radiotelephone communication system.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N.TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

September 20, 2003

9402685